

# The Effect of Applying Technology at the Guest Room on the Guest's Choice of the Hotel: Applied to Luxor & Aswan Governorates' Hotels

Hany Atef Kozmal

Mahmoud Ahmed Mohamed Saleh

Maisa Fathey Abd El-Latief

Hotel Management the Higher Institute for Tourism and Hospitality management  
Egoth Luxor.

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Technology; Guests' rooms; Applying technology; Guest loyalty.

**(JAAUTH)**  
**Vol. 20, No. 1,**  
**(2021),**  
**PP. 175-194.**

## Abstract

There has recently been a call for revisiting the effect of technology on guest experience in hotels. Technology has been gradually and usually applied to the hospitality industry. Hence, the present study identifies the effects of the agreement of the guest room technology adoption the perspective of hotel guests on their choice of the hotel. A questionnaire survey was adopted to collect data from guests in the four and five stars' hotels in Luxor and Aswan governorates to investigate the impacts of individual-level importance on the technology acceptance in guests' rooms. This study aims to investigate the special effects of advanced technology in hotels' rooms on guest loyalty. This study observed in-room technologies and identified the ones realized to be mission critical for the hotel guests. It also determined the differences in guest empowerment technology preferences and expectations across generations and travel frequency. Moreover, it investigated whether the quality of in-room technologies impressions guests' decision in choosing a hotel. The data were collected through personal meetings with the guests, hotels, as well as Google drive, hotels mails and social media. (500) questionnaires were distributed, only (428) samples were correctly ready to be analyzed. Important finding of this study was that a plurality of respondents informed that the availability of new guest-room technologies would favorably impact their decision to choose a hotel.

## Introduction

Today technology is a part of normal life (Abbasi et al., 2015). Nowadays, we can see the strong entry of technology in all aspects of our lives, especially in the areas of commercial, industrial and services sectors (Bilgihan et al., 2016). This technological development has become very effective on the national economy in all countries worldwide (Cobos et al., 2016). The hospitality industry must keep abreast with the

advancement with which these changes occur as the hotel technologies are continuously being introduced (Dieck et al., 2017). In the recent age, an organization's success depends on how much it knows about its guests and their needs (Ivanov et al., 2018). The efforts to improve hotel service and to increase its quality and efficiency stimulate and multiply a variety of technological innovations. Technologies play important part not only for the guests, but for the owners of hotels as well (Dalgic and Birdie, 2020).

Only by understanding hotels' guests' requests can arrangements create effective plans to survive in this rapidly changing and aggressive universal market (Jung et al., 2014). Like other arrangements, today's hotels are faced with a number of difficulties, from a weak economy to rigorous contest (Kucukusta et al., 2014). Technologies assist hotels to offer better service to their guests. While guests' request for technology in hotels is on the rise, hotels' technology plans continue to develop (Gunarathne, 2014). Therefore, it is serious for hotel managers to understand which in-room technologies guests' estimate and which they consider dispensable (Kim, 2016). The findings of this study will display which guests' rooms technologies are perceived as mission critical by the guests and the changes in guests' technology requests and expectations across age groups (Kansakar et al., 2019).

### **Objectives of the Study**

The major objective of this study is to describe the impact of applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel, to discover the importance ratings of in-room technology enjoyment when selecting hotels. More specifically, the study's objectives are:

1. To explore and compare the dimensions of in-room technology amenities that guests understand to be important in their choice of a hotel and their perceived achievement of those in-room technology enjoyment.
2. To determine differences in preferences and achievement of in-room technology facilities among guests.
3. To identify the potential impact of in-room technology facilities on guests satisfaction.

### **Literature**

Technology is the greatest force leadership change in the hospitality industry and would continue to alter the way the industry conducts business in the future (Kandampully and Suhartanto, 2000). Recent hotels appeal to guests in several different ways, including the quality of guest facility, the amenities on offer, the design of the property, but also through hotel technology too (Higley, 2007). Hospitality technology has become increasingly important and can be found from the mid-scale to luxury hotel segments (Erdem et al., 2009). It has become important to continue to identify the amenities, services, and technology applications that guests demand from hotels (Cobanoglu et al., 2011). Technological improvement promotes guest satisfaction, develops hotel service quality and provides opportunities for hotels to increase profitability and competitiveness (Almomani et al., 2017). Technology can simultaneously reduce labor costs; provide fast and standardized services. Thus, a

hotel can take opportunities to regularly develop technology improvement, there by differentiating itself from and profit competitive advantages against competitors (Beldona et al., 2018).

### **Understanding Guests' Choice**

Understanding guests' choice is critical (Beatson et al., 2006). Guests select a product from among a set of alternatives using choice standard that they perceive to have the best potential for pleasurable their needs (Beldona and Cobanoglu, 2007). Several of the hotel's choice studies have focused on the cognitive attributes used to choose a hotel and have examined the differences between hotel high-quality attributes, depending on the type of leisure business, gender, hotel category and technology (Bilgihan et al., 2010). Making things even more challenging tasks, potential market offerings in the hospitality industry have grown increasingly complex due in great measure to advances in technology that allow guests to strategically assess the relative budgets of different alternatives (Kuo et al., 2012).

### **Technology and Hotel Industry**

Hotels use technology as a value-added facility to their guests (Verma et al., 2007). By doing so, hotels can create differentiation, enhance guest contentment and structure lasting loyalty among guests (Munyan, 2008). Guests demand technology applications and amenities before, during, and after they stay in hotels (Lee et al., 2009). With the development of modern technology, the need for their application becomes the key for the competitiveness of hospitality industry (Lan, 2014). The hospitality industry is striving overwrought to be as innovative as possible (Bilgihan et al., 2016). It is evident in the fact that even mid-priced hotels have started focus on design and aesthetic appearance rather than just focusing on providing functional benefits (Beldona et al., 2018).

### **Guests' Rooms Technologies**

Guests have many choices when selecting hotels (Prayukvong et al., 2007). In this highly competitive environment for guests, managers should understand their guests' necessary needs in order to keep guests and attract new guests (Marlin, 2008). New technology for the global hospitality industry has debuted at a astounding rate and experts said they expect many of the new technology created in the past decade to be standard soon (Schrier et al., 2010). Managers enable to offer a meaningful set of guestroom technology applications to guests (Ruiz et al., 2011). Since guests have options when selecting to visit the hotel, they may be increasingly expecting a variety of choices for entertainment in their rooms (Ivanov et al., 2017). The improvements in the guests' rooms' technology over the last few years has resulted in an increased agreement by guests and now this may heighten the importance of in-room offerings in hotels as today's luxury is tomorrow's expectancy (Lukanova and Ilieva, 2019).

Cobanoglu et al. (2006) said that if hotels need to get a competitive quality, they should realize that the next generation of technology savvy business guests would support the hotels where these emerging technologies are available and are intended to improve guests overall knowledge. Chathoth (2007) added that in-room technology is a revenue producing chance for hotels. In-room technologies include: (1) Keyless

Entry, where gone are the days of misplacing a keycard and being locked out of a room (Kansakar et al., 2019). In modernistic hotels, a guest's smartphone will soon substitute the cards that we currently use to unlock hotel doors (Ivanov et al., 2017). Hotels will gradually install smart room access systems that let guests to unlock their doors by simply swiping their phones across a keyless pad on the door (Ivanov et al., 2018). Another innovatively way to offer a keyless skill is through fingerprint-activated room entry classifications and retina scanning devices (Beldona et al., 2018). (2) Televisions, where this is already a reality at some luxury hotels across the globe, where guests can watch high-definition TV in mirrors (Kansakar et al., 2019). (3) Smart Thermostats, where hotels are gradually adding thermostat technology that will allow guests to adjust the temperature in their rooms with a smartphone, even if they aren't on the premises (Dieck et al., 2017). (4) Texting Concierge, where at several hotels, guest can now communicate their needs to the staff through a smartphone (Law et al., 2020). (5) Streaming Devices to TV, where guests can stream their computers, phones, or tablets directly to the high definition televisions in their rooms. This allows guests to task on these screens, or to use them to watch satisfaction via streaming services such as Hulu and Netflix (Dalgic and Birdie, 2020). (6) Smart Lighting, where hotels currently let guests to also dim the lights in their room remotely (Cobos et al., 2016). (7) MP3 Docking Stations, where this is one of the more prevalent technologies, seeing as a good numbers of guests are coming to see it as standard (Kansakar et al., 2019). (8) High Speed Internet, where all hotels provide guests with access to high quickness internet (Beldona et al., 2018). Hotel guests imagine being able to connect to the internet seamlessly; and without too several interruptions, leading hotels to invest in better and faster Wi-Fi infrastructure (Kansakar et al., 2019). (9) Light sensors, where these sensors turn on when a guest arrives a room, and they turn off when the room has left a while without any motion (Cobos et al., 2016). (10) Robots, where we're not quite there yet, but some hotels are offering additional futuristic skills, with robots delivering any items required through room service to a guest's door (Lukanova and Ilieva, 2019). These kinds of digital systems not only create it easy for hotel staff to deliver items to guests, but it also offers a forward-facing digital ability to communities who stay at the hotel (Ivanov et al., 2018). Infrared scanners are also used to minimize disruptions relating to housekeeping (which is a common complaint from customers) (Kansakar et al., 2019). Instead of hanging a 'Do Not Disturb' sign on doors or having staff wake up guests with knocks and phone calls, hotel staff can take a more innovative approach by using infrared scanners that will detect body heat within a room and tell staff that they should rather come back later if the room is currently occupied (Dalgic and Birdie, 2020). (11) Mobile communication and automation, where guests want to be able to do all from checking in at a venue's automated kiosk to ordering room service with a digital device instead of standing in queues and movable around the hotel premises to order food (Tavitiyaman et al., 2020). Technology study found that guestroom technology was the greatest important technology area for guests but it did not perform that well to the agreement level of the guest (Law et al., 2020).

## Effects of Applying Technological Innovations

Technology has advanced its uses have increased to the point that people don't even realize that the technology is there (Lee et al., 2003). With guests' approval of the constant presence of technology has come a natural increase in guests' usage of technology applications (Inge, 2006). As guests have become more technologically savvy establishments have begun to take benefit of these expertise (McMullen, 2006). This allows guests to use technology to aid in the creation and consumption of many products and service. The guest is able to perform the steps necessary to achieve the exact outcomes that they wish (Cobanoglu, 2009). When guests receive their expected outcomes they tend to have higher levels of satisfaction as well as a feeling of improved service quality (Zabkar et al, 2009).

## Research Hypotheses

- H1:** Applying technology at the guest room has an effect on the guest's choice of the hotel.
- H2:** The top ranking of guest priorities regarding the role of applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel have positive influences in each other.
- H3:** The guest priorities have positive influence with the guest perception in accordance to the facilities technological amenities.

## Methodology

The planning and development for the current research study began in September 2019 and continued through August 2020. During that time a review of literature was conducted, research questions and hypotheses were developed, and data collection procedures were determined. A survey instrument was formulated, and data analysis techniques were selected.

## The Characteristics of the Investigated Hotels

The study was conducted on a sample of (20) hotels from Upper Egypt Luxor and Aswan hotels. The choice of the hotels was due to category and the more availability. The characteristics of the investigated hotels are as follows in table (1).

**Table 1**

The Characteristics of the Investigated Hotels.

No	Hotel name	Total Rooms	Category	Location
1.	Hilton Luxor Hotel and SPA	236	5 stars	Luxor
2.	Luxor Sheraton Hotel Resort	290	5 stars	Luxor
3.	Maritim Jolie Ville Kings Resort	334	5 stars	Luxor
4.	Sofitel Karnak Hotel	347	5 stars	Luxor
5.	Sofitel Winter Palace Hotel	234	5 stars	Luxor
6.	Sonesta st. George Hotel Luxor	322	5 stars	Luxor
7.	Steigen Bergernile Palace Hotel	304	5 stars	Luxor
8.	Akhetaton Village	144	4 stars	Luxor
9.	Almoudira Hotel	54	4 stars	Luxor
10.	El Luxor Hotel	306	4 stars	Luxor

Continued

11.	Iberotel Luxor Hotel	185	4 stars	Luxor
12.	Pyramisa Isis Luxor Hotel	480+time share	4 stars	Luxor
13.	Amoun Village	50	5 stars	Aswan
14.	Mövenpick Resort Aswan	244	5 stars	Aswan
15.	Pyramisa Isis Island Hotel	447+time share	5 stars	Aswan
16.	New Cataract Hotel	62	5 stars	Aswan
17.	Sofitel old Cataract Hotel	76	5 stars	Aswan
18.	Basma Hotel Aswan	210	4 stars	Aswan
19.	Cleopatra Hotel	130	4 stars	Aswan
20.	Isis Hotel Aswan	104	4 stars	Aswan

### Questionnaire Pre-testing

To increase the reliability and validity of the questionnaire, and to measure its attributes' adequateness, clearness and ease of understanding, the English questionnaire is reviewed by some academic scholars.

### Questionnaire design

Questionnaire was evaluated using a five-point likert scale ranging from 1= 'No opinion' to 5= 'Very Important'. The questionnaire form consists of socio-demographic and general questions such as: Age, education and experience about the floating hotels' general managers, in addition to, general information about the floating hotels. The questionnaire prepared for this study is based on a comprehensive literature review and consistent with the objectives of the study. The questionnaire formulated through divided into four main dimensions, in addition general data on hotels: The first dimension is "In-Room Technologies" and contains (18) questions. The second dimension contains (12) questions regarding "Comfort Technologies" at the rooms' guests in the hotels. Dimension three: "Business essentials". It contains (5) questions. Fourth dimension: "Internet access". This part consists of (2) questions.

### Data processing

The data in the research were processed using SPSS Version 21.0 to interpret the results of the questionnaires.

### Results and Discussions

The responses obtained from the questionnaires are shown as follows:

#### Reliability of the Scale

In terms of the reliability of the survey scales, in this study, Cronbach's coefficient alpha was used to measure the internal consistency of the scale. The higher value of the Cronbach's coefficient Alpha indicates a greater value. In that sense, all the values of the Cronbach's coefficient Alpha in this study are above the minimum level which was considered "acceptable" in most social science situations. The Cronbach's Alpha reliability was computed, and the tests showed that the reliability coefficients for all the instruments were above (0.98), which indicated that the instrument was reliable for being used. Cronbach's Alpha for all survey instruments was shown in the following table (2):

**Table 2**  
Reliability Statistics.

Cronbach's Alpha	No. of Items	No of Item questionnaire
0.985	42	428

### Results Analysis

The total numbers of the questionnaire sample, that received by (A personal meetings with the guests, hotels, as well as Google drive, hotels mails and social media), were (500); only (428) samples were correctly ready to be analyzed in studying the effect of applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel.

### Questionnaire form Analyses

Question no. (1) Regarding: Demographic data analysis.

This section was concerned with the demographic data of the respondents including: age, gender, as well as marital status (Table 3).

The results indicate that:

- The majority of the respondents were from 35 to 45 years old.
- The majority of the respondents were female.
- The majority of the respondents were single.

**Table 3**  
Respondent's Demographic Data Analysis.

Personal data		Frequency	Percent (%)
Age	Under 25 years	56	13.1
	From 25 to under 35 years	44	10.3
	From 35 to 45 years	146	34.1
	Over than 45	182	42.5
	Total	428	100.0
Gender	Male	171	40.0
	Female	257	60.0
	Total	428	100.0
Marital Status	Single	221	51.6
	Married	183	42.8
	Other	24	5.6
	Total	428	100.0

### Question no. (2): Please, rank level of priorities regarding the effect of applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel?

This question was concerning to the guest priorities about the effect of applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel. This part included the attribute analysis through guests' perspectives in terms of guest priorities about the effect of applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel. The attributes were divided into (5) sections; why the guest choose the hotel; Dimension (1): In-room technologies; Dimension (2): Comfort technologies; Dimension (3): Business essentials; Dimension (4): Internet access. Furthermore, this part presented

the ranking of attributes in each section. Table (4) showed guests' perspectives regarding the effect of applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel.

### **Why did the guest choose the hotel?**

- In accordance why the guest chooses the hotel the 1<sup>st</sup> ranking position were the price with weighted average (99.63 %), a mean (4.98) and std. deviation (0.13).
- Regarding the 2<sup>nd</sup> ranking position was quality by (99.07%) as weighted average with a mean (4.953) and std. deviation (0.21).
- Concerning the facilities technological amenities was 3<sup>rd</sup> ranking position for the guest perceptions by weighted average (98.50%), with a mean (4.92) and std. deviation (0.26).
- The 4<sup>th</sup> ranking position by (97.57 %) was as important weighted average referred to the Services, std. deviation was (0.32) and mean (4.87).
- Concerning the location, results showed that (97.20 %) were as important as weighted average. A mean was (4.86) and std. deviation (0.34).
- These results agreed with Lee et al. (2003), Prayukvong et al. (2007), Zabkar et al. (2009) and Kuo et al. (2012).

### **Dimension (1): In-Room Technologies**

- Concerning the in-room technologies. Coffee/tea making facilities was the 1<sup>st</sup> ranking position for the guest perceptions in the investigation as follows: (97.57 %) were as weighted average, with a mean (4.87) and std. deviation (0.32).
- Regarding the in-room Pay-Per-View (PPV) movies, was the 2<sup>nd</sup> ranking position, (96.64%) were as weighted average with a mean (4.55) and std. deviation (0.49).
- In terms of room facilities, was the 3<sup>rd</sup> ranking position for the guest perceptions in the investigation, the weighted average was (96.64%), with a mean (4.83) and std. deviation (0.37).
- Concerning the hair dryer, was the 4<sup>th</sup> ranking position, with weighted average (96.64 %), a mean (4.83) and std. deviation (0.37).
- Regarding the home with iPod and radio, results showed that (95.33 %) were as important as weighted average. A mean was (4.76) and std. deviation (0.42).
- These results agreed with Verma et al. (2007), Cobanoglu (2009), Abbasi et al. (2015), Bilgihan et al. (2016), Almomani et al. (2017) and Beldona et al. (2018).

### **Dimension (2): Comfort Technologies**

- Concerning the air conditioning was the 1<sup>st</sup> ranking position of the guest perceptions in the investigation which reflected the most important came as follows: (99.07%) was as weighted average, with a mean (4.95) and std. deviation (0.21).

- Concerning the mobile access to hotel website (e.g., Blackberry), was the 2<sup>nd</sup> ranking position, results showed that (95.70 %) were as important as weighted average. A mean was (4.78) and std. deviation (0.41).
- Concerning the In-room guest control panel (e.g., lights, TV, temperature, blinds, curtains, etc.) was 3<sup>rd</sup> ranking position for the guest perceptions by weighted average (95.51%), with a mean (4.77) and std. deviation (0.41).
- The 4<sup>th</sup> ranking position by (95.33 %) were as important weighted average referred to the CD/DVD player, std. deviation was (0.42) and mean (4.766).
- Concerning the flat panel HD television, results showed that (92.48%) were as important as weighted average. A mean was (4.62) and std. deviation (0.65).
- These results agreed with Munyan (2008), Marlin (2008), Lee et al. (2009) Kucukusta et al. (2014), Cobos et al. (2016), Kim (2016) Ivanov et al. (2017) and Law et al. (2020).

### **Dimension (3): Business Essentials**

- Regarding the business center (e.g., computers, fax and copier machinery, etc.), was the 1<sup>st</sup> ranking position of the guest perceptions in the investigation, (98.13 %) were as weighted average; with a mean (4.90) and std. deviation (0.29).
- The 2<sup>nd</sup> ranking position by (97.76 %) accepted weighted average was in the Express check-in / check-out, with a mean (4.88) and std. deviation (0.31).
- The 3<sup>rd</sup> ranking position by (96.26 %) weighted average was concerning In-room alarm clock, with a mean (4.81) and std. deviation (0.39).

In terms of room facilities, was the 3<sup>rd</sup> ranking position for the guest perceptions in the investigation, the weighted average was (96.64%), with a mean (4.83) and std. deviation (0.37).

- Concerning the easily accessible electronic outlets, was the 4<sup>th</sup> ranking position, with weighted average (94.58 %), a mean (4.72) and std. deviation (0.44).
- Regarding the in-room telephone, results showed that (93.41 %) were as important as weighted average. A mean was (4.67) and std. deviation (0.47).
- These results agreed with Inge (2006), Chathoth (2007), Jung et al. (2014), Bilgihan et al. (2016), Beldona et al. (2018), Kansakar et al. (2019) and Law et al. (2020).

### **Dimension (4): Internet Access**

- In terms of free High Speed Internet Access (HSIA), the 1<sup>st</sup> ranking position was for the guest perceptions in the investigation with weighted average (96.64 %), a mean (4.83) and std. deviation (0.37).
- 2<sup>nd</sup> ranking position by (91.54 %) was as importance as weighted average of that In-room high-speed internet access. with mean (4.57) and std. deviation was (0.65).

**Table (4): Ranking Level of Priorities Regarding the Effect of Applying Technology at the Guest Room on the Guest's Choice of the Hotel.**

**Table 4**

Ranking Level of Priorities Regarding the Effect of Applying Technology at the Guest Room on the Guest's Choice of the Hotel.

No.	Scale Item	1		2		3		4		5		Mean	Std. Deviation	Weighted Average (%)	Group Ranking	Top ten	
		Least important		Un important		Neutral		Important		Most important							
		Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%						
	<b>Why did you Choose this Hotel?</b>																
1.	Location	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	14	368	86	4.860	0.348	97.20	5		
2.	Price	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	2	420	98	4.981	0.136	99.63	1		
3.	Quality	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	5	408	95	4.953	0.211	99.07	2		
4.	Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	12	376	88	4.879	0.327	97.57	4		
5.	Facilities Technological Amenities	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	8	396	93	4.925	0.263	98.50	3		
	<b>Dimension 1: In-Room Technologies</b>																
1.	Cable/satellite TV	0	0	0	0	0	0	161	38	267	62	4.624	0.485	92.48	7		
2.	In-room Voicemail / messaging	0	0	0	0	64	15	152	36	212	50	4.346	0.726	86.92	10		
3.	Hair dryer	0	0	0	0	0	0	72	17	356	83	4.832	0.375	96.64	3	6*	
4.	TV-speakers/music in bathrooms	0	0	0	0	216	51	168	39	0	0	3.598	0.669	61.68	18		
5.	In-room Pay-Per-View (PPV) movies	0	0	0	0	44	10	191	45	237	55	3.554	0.498	97.24	2	5	
6.	In-room VoIP service	0	0	0	0	181	42	247	58	0	0	3.577	0.495	71.54	12		
7.	Video-Conferencing Capabilities	0	0	0	0	206	48	222	52	0	0	3.519	0.500	70.37	14		
8.	In-room Universal Battery	0	0	0	0	191	45	237	55	0	0	3.554	0.498	71.07	13		

Continued

No.	Scale Item	1		2		3		4		5		Mean	Std. Deviation	Weighted Average (%)	Group Ranking	Top ten	
		Least important		Unimportant		Neutral		Important		Most important							
		Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%						
	Charger																
9.	In-room Fitness System	0	0	0	0	308	72	120	28	0	0	3.280	0.450	65.61	17		
10.	In-room game system (e.g. Wii or PlayStation)	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	20	344	80	4.804	0.398	96.07	4	8	
11.	Virtual concierge	0	0	0	0	104	24	324	76	56	13	4.757	0.429	88.22	9		
12.	In-room interactive table	0	0	0	0	0	0	276	65	96	22	4.094	0.589	74.02	11		
13.	In-room interactive mirror/wall	0	0	0	0	56	13	56	13	316	74	4.608	0.708	92.15	8		
14.	iHome with iPod and radio	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	23	328	77	4.766	0.424	95.33	5		
15.	coffee/tea making facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	12	376	88	4.879	0.327	97.57	1	4	
16.	Guest Room Lock Access via guest's mobile phone	0	0	0	0	40	9	44	10	344	80	4.710	0.627	94.21	6		
17.	Room Butler	0	0	0	0	284	66	144	34	0	0	3.336	0.473	66.73	16		
18.	Door Mate	0	0	0	0	264	62	164	38	0	0	3.383	0.487	67.66	15		
	<b>Dimension 2: Comfort Technologies</b>									0	0						
1.	LCD Television	0	0	0	0	72	17	139	33	217	51	4.339	0.750	86.78	8		
2.	CD/DVD Player	0	0	0	0			100	23	328	77	4.766	0.424	95.33	4		
3.	Mini Bar	0	0	0	0	48	11	128	30	252	59	4.477	0.689	89.53	6		
4.	Air Conditioning	0	0	0	0			20	5	408	95	4.953	0.211	99.07	1	1	
5.	Electronic Wireless Key Card	0	0	0	0	171	40	257	60			3.601	0.490	72.01	9		
6.	In-room Electronic Safe	0	0	0	0	68	16	138	32	222	52	4.360	0.741	87.20	7		
7.	Mobile Access to Hotel Website (e.g., Blackberry)	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	22	336	79	4.785	0.411	95.70	2	9	

Continued

No.	Scale Item	1		2		3		4		5		Mean	Std. Deviation	Weighted Average (%)	Group Ranking	Top ten
		Least important		Un important		Neutral		Important		Most important						
		Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%					
8.	In-room PC	0	0	80	19	228	53	120	28	0	0	3.094	0.678	61.87	13	
9.	In-room guest control panel (e.g., lights, TV, temperature, blinds, curtains, etc.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	22	332	78	4.776	0.418	95.51	3	10
10.	Xbox 360 console	0	0	0	0	288	67	140	33			3.327	0.470	66.54	10	
11.	bathroom Smart Toilets	0	0	171	40	257	60	0	0	0	0	2.601	0.490	52.01	12	
12.	Flat panel HD Television	0	0	0	0	40	9	81	19	307	72	4.624	0.650	92.48	5	
<b>Dimension 3: Business Essentials</b>																
1.	Business center (e.g., computers, fax and copier machinery, etc.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	9	388	91	4.907	0.291	98.13	1	2
2.	Express Check-in / Check-out	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	11	380	89	4.888	0.316	97.76	2	3
3.	Easily Accessible Electronic Outlets	0	0	0	0	0	0	116	27	312	73	4.729	0.445	94.58	4	
4.	In-room Telephone	0	0	0	0	0	0	141	33	287	67	4.671	0.471	93.41	5	
5.	In-room Alarm Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	19	348	81	4.813	0.390	96.26	3	7
<b>Dimension 4: Internet Access</b>																
1.	In-room High-Speed Internet Access	0	0	0	0	40	9	101	24	287	67	4.577	0.657	91.54	2	
2.	Free HSIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	72	17	356	83	4.832	0.375	96.64	1	6*
<b>Note: * Mean Repeated</b>																

Table (5) showed that the mean scores of the respondents were in the first rank: why did you choose this hotel by mean (4.91) and SD (0.22); followed in the next position by dimension (3): Business essentials, with mean (4.80) and SD (0.33); in the third position dimension (4): Internet access by mean 4.70 and SD 0.48. Followed in the fourth position by dimension (2): comfort technologies, with mean (4.14) and SD (0.46); finally, in the fifth position. Dimension (4): Internet access by mean (3.86) and SD (0.39).

**Table 5**

Descriptive Analysis of the Respondents' Opinions Regarding the Effect of Applying Technology at the Guest Room on the Guest's Choice of the Hotel (n= 428).

No.	Dimension	Mean		Std. Deviation	
		Statistic	Std. Error	Statistic	
Total SP	Why did you choose this hotel	4.9196	.01066	.22047	1
Total FDD	Dimension 1: In-Room Technologies	3.8616	.01892	.39145	5
Total SD	Dimension 2: Comfort Technologies	4.1417	.02255	.46644	4
Total TD	Dimension 3: Business Essentials	4.8014	.01595	.33007	2
Total DFO	Dimension 4: Internet Access	4.7044	.02361	.48842	3

**H1: applying technology at the guest room has an effect on the guest's choice of the hotel**

The first hypotheses were tested using NPar tests “Kruskal-Wallis test”. Test statistics<sup>b</sup> (a. Kruskal Wallis test for the impact of the applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel and b. grouping variable: sp5 “facilities technological amenities”). Results showed that there was strong impact of the facilities technological amenities on the guest's choice of the hotel, with (Chi-Square) values ranging from (80.559) to (243.314), asymp. Sig. (0.000) this indicate there was statistically significant relations. As shown in table (6).

**Table 6**

The Effect of Applying Technology at the Guest Room on the Guest's Choice of the Hotel NPar Tests.

	Ranks			Test Statistics <sup>a,b</sup>	
	sp5	N	Mean Rank	Chi-Square	
Totalsp “Why did you choose this hotel”?	Important	32	16.50	243.314	0.000
	Very Important	396	230.50		
Totalfdd “Dimension 1: In-Room Technologies”.	Important	32	26.50	80.559	0.000
	Very Important	396	229.69		
Totalsd “Dimension 2: Comfort Technologies”.	Important	32	18.00	90.011	0.000
	Very Important	396	230.38		
Totaltd “Dimension 3: Business Essentials”.	Important	32	20.50	122.150	0.000
	Very Important	396	230.18		
Totaldfo “Dimension 4: Internet Access”.	Important	32	20.50	122.747	0.000
	Very Important	396	230.18		

a. Kruskal Wallis Test

b. Grouping Variable: sp5

**H2:** The top ranking of guest priorities regarding the role of applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel have positive influences in each other.

**H3:** The guest priorities have positive influence with the guest perception in accordance to the Facilities Technological Amenities.

Table (7) and table (8) showed the correlation matrix among guest top requirements priorities of the effect of applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel. The results revealed that there were significant correlations among many the effect of applying technology at the guest room on the guest satisfaction. The results showed that there were strong correlations between all proposed relation in the conceptual hypotheses, with (r) values ranging from (0.505\*\*) to (0.985\*\*) ( $p < 0.01$ ) \*\* Correlation was significant at the (0.01) level (1-tailed). The obtained correlation values between the guest priorities were in the expected positive direction while the perception was in the negative direction with all the priorities. Based on the results of Pearson correlation analysis which represent proposed linear relationship, all the research hypotheses were supported.

**Table 7**  
Summary of Correlation Analysis and Hypotheses Testing.

Correlations							
		Totalsp	Totalfdd	Totalsd	Totaltd	Totaldfo	sp5
Total sp “Why did you choose this hotel”	Pearson Correlation	1					
	Sig. (1-tailed)						
Total fdd “Dimension 1: In-Room Technologies”	Pearson Correlation	.644**	1				
	Sig. (1-tailed)	.000					
Total sd “Dimension 2: Comfort Technologies”	Pearson Correlation	.714**	.981**	1			
	Sig. (1-tailed)	.000	.000				
Total td “Dimension 3: Business Essentials”	Pearson Correlation	.825**	.867**	.929**	1		
	Sig. (1-tailed)	.000	.000	.000			
Total dfo “Dimension 4: Internet Access”	Pearson Correlation	.832**	.863**	.923**	.985**	1	
	Sig. (1-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
sp5 “Facilities Technological Amenities”	Pearson Correlation	0.897**	0.505**	0.576**	0.691**	0.702**	1
	Sig. (1-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (1-tailed).

**Table 8**  
Hypothesis Test Summary.

	Null Hypotheses	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distributions of sp5, totalsp, totalfdd, totaltd and totaldfo are the same.	Related- samples friedman’s two-way Analysis of variance by ranks	0.000	Reject the null hypothesis.

**Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is 0.05.**

### **The Guests' Preferences Regarding the Facilities Technological Amenities Model**

The process of developing was a ranking model regarding the guests' guest priorities regarding applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel which aim to shed light on the most recurrent preferences of facilities technological amenities from the perspectives of the guests so as to improve the guests' satisfaction. The aim of this model was to shed the light on the most attractive attributes of facilities technological amenities from the perspectives of guests in order to better meet the guest satisfaction (see table 8).

The importance of this ranking model was to provide the perspectives regarding the most important guests' requirements and priorities for facilities technological amenities, in order to better understand the guests' requirements for the role of applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel and trying to meet these requirements, which will lead to guest satisfaction.

From the hospitality industries point of view, facilities technological amenities could also have been included. Generally, guest satisfaction was the feeling of guest that has experienced the service quality and then the judgments of satisfaction are made. There was no doubt that every single hotel need to keep their guests satisfied (Kouzmal, 2016).

### **Conclusion**

Based upon the data obtained from the questionnaire, it can be concluded that:

In accordance why the guest chooses the hotel the most important ranking positions were the price, quality, the facilities technological amenities, the services, and the location was considered by the majority of guests that the most important attributes.

Regarding the guests' requirements concerning the in-room technologies attributes, the findings showed that the most important ranking positions for the guest perceptions in the investigation were as follows: coffee/tea making facilities, in-room Pay-Per-View (PPV) movies, room facilities, the hair dryer, the iHome with iPod and radio were considered the most important in-room technologies attributes for guests.

Regarding the guests' requirements regarding the comfort technologies attributes, the findings showed that the most important ranking positions for the guest perceptions in the investigation were as follows: the air conditioning, mobile access to hotel website (e.g., Blackberry), the in-room guest control panel (e.g., lights, TV, temperature, blinds, curtains, etc.), the CD/DVD player and the flat panel HD television were considered the most important comfort technologies attributes for guests.

Regarding the guests' requirements regarding the business essentials attributes, the findings showed that the most important ranking positions for the guest perceptions in the investigation were as follows: the business center (Computers, fax and copier machinery, etc.), the express check-in/check-out, in-room alarm clock, room facilities, the easily accessible electronic outlets and the in-room telephone; results showed were considered the most important business essentials attributes for guests.

Regarding the guests' requirements regarding the internet access attributes, the findings showed that the most important ranking positions for the guest perceptions in the investigation were as follows: free HSIA and in-room high-speed internet access were considered the most important internet access attributes for guests.

### **Recommendations**

These recommendations might help managers to better meet the guests' requirements in regard to facilities technological amenities and thus to improve guest satisfaction. These recommendations were as follows:

- Hospitality managers should be fully aware of the guests' priorities regarding facilities technological amenities.
- Hospitality managers should be fully aware of guest' priorities regarding the role of applying technology at the guest room on the guest's choice of the hotel.
- In general in accordance why guest chooses the hotel the most important ranking positions should be provided with care of the following the price, quality, the facilities technological amenities, the services and the location were considered by the majority of guests that the most important attributes.
- The in-room technologies attributes should be provided with the following: Coffee/tea making facilities, In-room Pay-Per-View (PPV) movies, room facilities, the hair dryer, the iHome with iPod and radio.
- Comfort technologies attributes should be provided with the following: the air conditioning, mobile access to hotel website (e.g., Blackberry), the in-room guest control panel (e.g., lights, TV, temperature, blinds, curtains, etc.), the CD/DVD player and the flat panel HD television.
- Concerning business essentials attributes should be provided with special care of the following: the business center (Computers, fax and copier machinery, etc.), the express check-in/check-out, in-room alarm clock, room facilities, the easily accessible electronic outlets and the in-room telephone.
- Internet access attributes should be provided with the following: free HSIA and in-room high-speed internet access.

### **References**

- Abbasi, M., Elyas, T. & Shah, F. (2015). Impact of Individualism & Collectivism over the Individual's Technology Acceptance Behavior: A Multi-Group Analysis between Pakistan & Turkey. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 28(6), 747-768.
- Almomani, I., Abdullah, M., Masa'deh, R., Bataine, F. & Ayoub, A. (2017). The Effect of Environmental Preservation, Advanced Technology, Hotel Image & Service Quality on Guest Loyalty. *International Journal of Business Administration*, 8(4), 49.

- Beatson, A., Coote, L. & Rudd, J. (2006). Determining Consumer Satisfaction & Commitment through Self Service Technology & Personal Service Usage. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 22, 853-82.
- Beldona, S. & Cobanoglu, C. (2007). Importance-Performance Analysis of Guest Technologies in the Lodging Industry. *Cornell Hotel & Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 48- 299.
- Beldona, S., Schwartz, Z. & Zhang, X. (2018). Evaluating Hotel Guest Technologies: Does Home Matter? *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 30(5), 2327-2342.
- Bilgihan, A., Cobanoglu, C. & Miller, B. (2010). Importance-Performance Analysis of Guest Entertainment Technology Amenities in the Lodging Industry. *FIU Review*, 28(3), 84-108.
- Bilgihan, A., Smith, S., Ricci, P. & Bujisic, M. (2016). Hotel Guest Preferences of In-Room Technology Amenities. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Technology*, 7(2), 118-134.
- Chathoth, P. (2007). The Impact of Information Technology on Hotel Operations, Service Management & Transaction Costs: A Conceptual Framework for Full-Service Hotel Firms. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 26(2), 395-408.
- Cobanoglu, C. (2009). Guests' Top 7 Technologies. *Hospitality Technology*, 13(2).
- Cobanoglu, C., Berezina, K., Kasavana, M. & Erdem, M. (2011). The Impact of Technology Amenities on Hotel Guest Overall Satisfaction. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 12(4), 272-288.
- Cobanoglu, C., Demirer, I., Kepeci, B. & Sipahioglu, S. (2006). The Impact of Technology in Hotels: A case Study of Istanbul & Ankara hotels. *An International Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 17(2), 318-322.
- Cobos, L., Mejia, C., Ozturk, A. & Wang, Y. (2016). A Technology Adoption & Implementation Process in an Independent Hotel Chain. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*. 57, 93-105.
- Dalgic, A. & Birdie, K. (2020). Smart Hotels & Technological Applications. *Handbook of Research on Smart Technology Application in the Tourism Industry*, 323-343.
- Dieck, T., Jung, T., Kim, W. & Moon, Y. (2017). Hotel Guests' Social Media Acceptance in Luxury Hotels. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 29(1), 530-550.
- Erdem, M., Schrier, T., & Brewer, P. (2009). Guest Empowerment Technologies. *Journal of Hospitality Finance & Technology Professional*, 24(3), 17-19.
- Gunarathne, U. (2014). Relationship between Service Quality & Customer Satisfaction in Sri Lanka Hotel Industry. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 4(11), 1-8.
- Higley, J. (2007). Keep Technology Working, Make Guests Happy. *Hotel & Motel Management*, 222(11), 6.

- Inge, J. (2006). The Electronic Guestroom. *Hospitality Upgrade*, 8-22.
- Ivanov, S., Webster, C. & Berezina, K. (2017). Adoption of Robots & Service Automation by Tourism & Hospitality Companies. *Revista Turismo & Desenvolvimento*, 27(28), 1501-1517.
- Ivanov, S., Webster, C. & Seyyedi, P. (2018). Consumers' Attitudes towards the Introduction of Robots in Accommodation Establishments. *Original Scientific Paper*, 66(3), 302-317.
- Jung, S., Kim, J. & Farrish, J. (2014). In-room Technology Trends & their Implications for Enhancing Guest Experiences & Revenue. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Technology*, 5(3), 210-228.
- Kandampully, J. & Suhartanto, D. (2000). Customer Loyalty in the Hotel Industry: The Role of Customer Satisfaction & Image. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 12(6), 346-351.
- Kansakar, P., Munir, A. & Shabani, N. (2019). Technology in Hospitality Industry: Prospects & Challenges. *IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine*, 8(3), 60-65.
- Kim, J. (2016). An Extended Technology Acceptance Model in Behavioral Intention toward Hotel Tablet Apps with Moderating Effects of Gender and Age. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 28(8), 1535-1553.
- Kucukusta, D., Heung, V. & Hui, S. (2014). Deploying Self-Service Technology in Luxury Hotel Brands: Perceptions of Business Travelers. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 31(1), 55-70.
- Kuo, N., Chang, K., Chen, M. & Hsu, C. (2012). Investigating the Effect of Service Quality on Customer Post-Purchasing Behaviors in the Hotel Sector: The Moderating Role of Service Convenience. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 13(3), 212-234.
- Kouzmal, H. (2016). Ranking the Japanese Guest Priorities for Hospitality Services in Egypt. Paper in *Journal of Faculty of Tourism and Hotels*, Fayoum University, March, 10(1).
- Lan, S. (2014). An Importance-Performance Analysis of Multigenerational Preferences in Guestroom Technology. UNLV Theses, Dissertations, Professional Papers & Capstones. 2620. <https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/thesesdissertations/2620>.
- Law, R., Leung, D. & Cheng, I. (2020). Progression & Development of Information & Communication Technology Research in Hospitality & Tourism. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 32(2), 511-534.
- Lee, H., Lim, H., Jolly, L. & Lee, J. (2009). Consumer Lifestyles & Adoption of High-Technology Products: A case of South Korea. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 21(2), 153-167.

- Lee, S., Barker, S. & Kandampully, J. (2003). Technology, Service Quality & Customer Loyalty in Hotels: Australian Managerial Perspectives. *Managing Service Quality*, 13(5), 423-432.
- Lukanova, G. & Ilieva, G. (2019). Robots, Artificial Intelligence & Service Automation in Hotels. In S. Ivanov & C. Webster (Eds.), *Robots, Artificial Intelligence & Service Automation in Travel, Tourism & Hospitality*, 157-183.
- Marlin, S. (2008). Modernizing Accommodations. *Casino Journal*, 21(6), 24-25.
- McMullen, S. (2006). Guests Demand Latest, Greatest in-Room Entertainment. *Hotel & Motel Management*, 221(5), 36-36.
- Munyan, R. (2008). Technology in the Next Generation of Hotels. *Lodging Hospitality*, 64(16), 78-88.
- Prayukvong, W., Sophon, J., Hongpukdee, S. & Charupas, T. (2007). Customers' Satisfaction with Hotel Guestrooms: A case Study in Ubon Rachathani Province, Thailand. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 12(2), 119-126.
- Ruiz, M., Gil, I. & Moliner, B. (2011). Does Technology Make a Difference? Evidence from Spanish Hotels. *Service Business*, 5(1), 1-12.
- Schrier, T., Erdem, M. & Brewer, P. (2010). Merging Task-Technology Fit & Technology Acceptance Models to Assess Guest Empowerment Technology Usage in Hotels. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Technology*, 1(3), 201-217.
- Tavitiyaman, P., Zhang, X. & Yin, W. (2020). How Tourists Perceive the Usefulness of Technology Adoption in Hotels. Interaction Effect of Past Experience & Education Level, *Journal of China Tourism Research*, DOI: 10.1080/19388160.2020.1801546.
- Verma, R., Victorino, L., Karniouchina, K. & Feickert, J. (2007). Segmenting Hotel Customers Based on Technology Readiness Index. *Cornell Hospitality Report*, 7(13), 1-16.
- Zabkar, V., Brencic, M. & Dmitrovic, T. (2009). Modelling Perceived Quality, Visitor Satisfaction & Behavioral Intentions at the Destination Level. *Tourism Management*, 31(4), 537-546.

## تأثير تطبيق التكنولوجيا في غرف النزلاء على اختيار النزيل للفندق: بالتطبيق على فنادق محافظتي الأقصر وأسوان

هاني عاطف قرمال

مايسه فتحي عبد اللطيف

محمود أحمد محمد صالح

قسم إدارة الفنادق، المعهد العالي للسياحة والفنادق - ايجوث

### المخلص

انتشرت في الآونة الأخيرة الدعوة إلى إعادة النظر في تأثير التكنولوجيا على تجربة النزلاء في الفنادق. تم تطبيق التكنولوجيا بشكل تدريجي في صناعة الفنادق على نطاق واسع. لذا استهدفت الدراسة الحالية تحليل أثر تطبيق التكنولوجيا في غرف النزلاء على اختيارهم للفندق. تم توزيع استمارة استبيان لجمع البيانات من النزلاء في الفنادق ذات الأربعة والخمسة نجوم في محافظتي الأقصر وأسوان للتحقق من قبول النزلاء للتكنولوجيا في غرفهم. لذا استهدفت هذه الدراسة التعرف على تأثير التكنولوجيا المتقدمة في غرف الفنادق على ولاء النزلاء. حيث فحصت هذه الدراسة التكنولوجيا داخل الغرف وحددت تلك التي يُنظر إليها على أنها ذات أهمية بالغة لنزلاء الفندق. كما حددت الاختلافات في تفضيلات وتوقعات تكنولوجيا تمكين النزلاء عبر الأجيال ووتيرة السفر. علاوة على ذلك، فقد بحثت هذه الدراسة فيما إذا كانت جودة التكنولوجيا داخل الغرف تؤثر على قرار النزلاء في اختيار الفندق. تم جمع البيانات من خلال اللقاءات الشخصية مع النزلاء في الفنادق بالإضافة إلى **Google form** ورسائل البريد الخاصة بالفنادق ووسائل التواصل الاجتماعي. تم توزيع (500) استمارة استبيان، حيث تم الحصول فقط على (428) عينة صالحة للتحليل الإحصائي. كانت أهم نتائج الدراسة لإرادة أغلب أفراد عينة الدراسة بأن توافر التكنولوجيا الحديثة في غرف النزلاء سوف يؤثر بشكل إيجابي على قرارهم لاختيار الفندق.

### معلومات المقالة

#### الكلمات المفتاحية

التكنولوجيا؛ غرف  
النزلاء؛ تطبيق  
التكنولوجيا؛ ولاء  
الضيف.

(JAAUTH)

المجلد 20، العدد 1،  
(2021)،  
ص 175-194.